

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/742,957	12/20/2000	Edward B. Gindele	82021RLO	8706
7:	590 02/01/2005		EXAM	INER
Patent Legal Staff			WU, JINGGE	
Eastman Kodal	c Company			
343 State Sreet			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2623	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[A 11 41 51	Applicant(a)				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/742,957	GINDELE, EDWARD B.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jingge Wu	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly				
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 15-23 and 49-51</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:	JINGGE	AMINEH				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advi	sory Action	Part of Paper No. 10				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: "noise is reduced in the reconstructed digital iamge as compared to the original digital image" raises new issue...,